

Center pivot irrigation feature extraction in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed using an object-based image analysis (OBIA) approach

> Adam Clark | GEOG 596A | December 9, 2020 The Pennsylvania State University | Advisor: Jarlath O'Neil-Dunne

USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service							
♣ Data & Statistics ▼ Publications ▼	Newsroom ▼ Surveys ▼ Census About NASS ▼ Cor						
You are here: <u>Home / Publications</u> / <u>AgCensus</u> / <u>2017</u> / <u>Online Resou</u>	rces / Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey						
Quick links for Census of Ag Census of Agriculture							
Partner Tools Frequently Asked Questions / More Information	2018 Irrigation and Water Management Survey						
<u>Your Census. Your Story.</u> <u>Ag Census-Solicitation for Input</u>	Complete Report: Text   PDF(_14.7 MB_)   CSV Introduction						
Historical Census of Ag Publications	rical Census of Ag Publications Table Number and Description						
Choose a Census 🗸	Entire Farm Data						
Find current data by	Table 1. Irrigated Farms in the Censuses of Agriculture: 2017 and Earlier Censuses						
Congressional District	Table 2. Irrigated Farms by Acres Irrigated: 2018 and 2013						

## Table 2. Irrigated Farms by Acres Irrigated: 2018

[Excludes institutional, research, and experimental farms. For meaning of abbreviations

	Total				
Geographic area	Farms	Land in farms (acres)	Acres irrigated		
United States	231,474 229,237	222,028,954 213,993,983	55,938,795 55,319,417		



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2020, 04 01). Chesapeake Bay Watershed. Retrieved from USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detailfull/national/programs/initiatives/?cid=stelprdb1047323



Milligan, C. (2016, April 12). Irrigation systems: Real rainmakers for farmers. Retrieved June 29, 2020, from Delaware Business Times: https://delawarebusinesstimes.com/news/industry/agribusiness/irrigation-systems-real-rainmakers-farmers/







Finkelstein, J. S., & Nardi, M. R. (2016, November 28). Geospatial Compilation and Digital Map of Center-Pivot Irrigated Areas in the Mid-Atlantic Region, United States. Retrieved June 9, 2020, from U.S. Geological Survey: Data Series 932: https://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/0932/report.html



10	St. Lat	1 the	M.C. All	de la		Server G		
Image C	lassification			X		and the state	1 1 1	<ul> <li>1</li> </ul>
Classifica	tion * AuthornCh	-14	- M.		ALL ST	1.5	Gary States	Sec.
Classifica	don - To Northernon	-Y					10000	States.
	· · · ·		and the second second		15.11	St. Barris		T.
1.4		100	<ul> <li>II. We are</li> </ul>	-	一 标志 化 和心		- del - C	
			State of the state of the	127 1 40				<b>FRE</b>
Trai	ning Sample Manag					ANG 10 51	1.0	
- 1141	ming sample manag	e.,	<u></u>			and show the	1 Anna 2 18	ALC: N
× 6	☐ ∃ · · E × ↑	🕂 N+ 🕍	Δ 🖄 Σ 📲	100 m 2	CL - 2000		a for the	HONE (
ID	Class Name	Value	Color Count	See 10				1.1
1	Commercial (Industrial	1	991	2001 1001	and a lot	The sec		STA .
2	Residential	2	1249	CL - PA		TU (* 16)	Sec. and	
3	Cropland	3	940	10 10 2	10 M	10.00	1 Kalon	- 1
4	Forest	4	441	100	Sec. 15-		119 1107	
5	Pasture	5	547		The second second	CONC.	27 2	de.
				1003	for the s		1000	100
				1 10 10 1			and the second	
				A HE	4 4 5 1	all the A	21 04 100	
1 - 1 - 1 - 1	N. HORSEN DE LA COMPANY	SULLAN AND DR	SACTORNOL IN COMPANY		10 A	· · · · ·	8. E.B.	
5-1	and the second	1. 13 9.45		1.000	Colora Maria	1000		Sec. As
A Party	States and a	Contraction of the local distribution of the	CERTIFIC TO A STATE		and the state	the state of	the state	
P. The	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER			100	100		Asher of	
THE REAL PROPERTY.	and the second			Contraction of the local division of the loc	1 10 Mar 8-	A COLORADO	A DESCRIPTION OF	Contraction in



ESRI. (2019). What is image classification? Retrieved from ArcMap Extensions: Spatial Analyst: https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/latest/extensions/spatial-analyst/image-classification/what-is-image-classification-.htm







Saraiva, M., Protas, E., Salgado, M., & Souza, C. (2020). Automatic Mapping of Center Pivot Irrigation Systems from Satellite Images Using Deep Learning. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(3), 558. Retrieved November 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12030558



Watkins, B., & Van Niekerk, A. (2019, December). Automating field boundary delineation with multi-temporal Sentinel-2 imagery. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 167. Retrieved

per 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2019.105078



Li, X., & Shao, G. (2014). Object-Based Land-Cover Mapping with High Resolution Aerial Photography at a County Scale in Midwestern USA. Remote Sensing, 6(11), 11372-11390. Retrieved November 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.3390/rs61111372

















## Ъy

Charles E. Olson, Jr.

Supplementary notes prepared for use in image interpretation training programs of the University of Michigan School of Natural Resources, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Nine elements of image interpretation are described in the following paragraphs. This discussion is not intended to be exhaustive. In fact, a separate book could be written about each of the elements mentioned. Appreciation of the importance of these elements will grow with experience and practice. This description serves as an advance look at what is considered in more detail later.

- ---- -- -----, and protocably of all of chem.

1. <u>Shape</u>. The shape or form of some objects is so descriptive that their images may be identified solely from this criterion. The Pentagon Building near Washington, D.C. is a classic example.

2. <u>Size</u>. In many cases length, width, height, area, or volume are essential to accurate and complete interpretation. The volume of wood which could be cut from the stand in Figure 1 is dependent upon tree-size, stand density, and size (or age) of the stand.

3. <u>Tone</u>. Different objects reflect and emit different amounts and wavelengths of energy. These differences are recorded as tonal, color, or density variations in the record. The stand of mixed hardwoods shown in Figure 1 was photographed in late October at the peak of the fall color change. Species differences show clearly in different tones or shades of gray.

4. <u>Shadow.</u> Shadows can help or hinder the interpreter, for they reveal invisible silhouettes but hide some detail. Shadows in Figure 2 provide information on the size and shape of this building which is not apparent from the image of the building alone. These same shadows obscure detail in the lawn and sidewalk areas in front of the building.

5. <u>Pattern</u>. Pattern, or repetition, is characteristic of many man-made objects and of some natural features. The land-use pattern shown in Figure 3 is typical of areas of deep, wind-blown soils. Orchards and strip cropping are particularly conspicuous because of pattern.

6. <u>Texture</u>. The visual impressions of roughness or smoothness created by some images is often a valuable clue to interpretation. Tree size is often interpreted on the basis of apparent texture. Smooth, velvety textures are commonly associated with young saplings, while rougher, cobbled textures usually indicate older trees of sawtimber size.



Process					2			
Name			Algo	rithm Description				
Automatic			Apply an optimization procedure which locally minimizes the average heterogeneity of image objects for a given resolution.					
42 [shape:0.1 compct	:0.5] creating "New Level"		Algo	orithm parameters				
Algorithm			Para	imeter	Value			
multiresolution segme	intation	-	Ove	rwrite existing level	Yes			
			41	evel Settings				
Domain			L	evel Name	New Level			
pixel level			(	Compatibility mode	latest version			
Decemeter	Mahua		4 5	Segmentation Settings				
Parameter	Value		1	Image Layer weights	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 No			
Condition	From Docost		1	Thematic Layer usage				
мар	From Parent		Scale parameter 42					
			3	Composition of homogene	aity criterion			
				Shape	0.1			
				Compactness	0.5			
Loops & Cycles								
Loop while someth	ing changes only							
Number of cycles	1	.*						





## A Review of Assessing the Accuracy of Classifications of Remotely Sensed Data

Russell G. Congalton

Department of Forestry and Resource Management, University of California, Berkeley

Class/Value	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4	Class 5	Grand Total	User's Accuracy	Карра
Class 1								
Class 2								
Class 3								
Class 4								
Class 5								
Grand Total								
Producer's Accuracy								
Карра								







Task	Time	Date
Define Problem and Propose Project	3 Months	October 2020 - December 2020
Data Acquisition	1 Month	December 2020
Feature Extraction Development and Execution	3 Months	December 2020 - March 2021
Accuracy Assessment	1 Month	March 2021
Create Final Report	1 Month	April 2021
Submit Abstract to Conference	1 Month	April 2021
Present at Conference	1 Month	May 2021

.

£

Chesapeake Bay Program. (2020). Learn The Issues: Agriculture. Retrieved June 29, 2020, from Chesapeake Bay Program: https://www.chesapeakebay.net/issues/agriculture

Chesapeake Bay Program. (2020). What we do: Accomplishments. Retrieved June 29, 2020, from Chesapeake Bay Program: https://www.chesapeakebay.net/what/accomplishments

Congalton, R. G. (1991, July). A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 37(1), 35-46. Retrieved April 5, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(91)90048-B

de Albuquerque, A. O., de Carvalho Junion, O. A., de Carvalho, O. L., Ferreira, P. H., Silva, C. R., Guimaraes, R. F., . . . Gomes, R. A. (2020). Deep Semantic Segmentation of Center Pivot Irrigation Systems from Remotely Sensed Data. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(13), 2159. Retrieved November 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12132159

ESRI. (2020). Image Classification: Overview of image classification. Retrieved June 30, 2020, from ESRI: ArcGIS Pro: https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/help/analysis/image-analyst/overview-of-image-classification.htm

Finkelstein, J. S., & Nardi, M. R. (2016, November 28). Geospatial Compilation and Digital Map of Center-Pivot Irrigated Areas in the Mid-Atlantic Region, United States. Retrieved June 9, 2020, from U.S. Geological Survey: Data Series 932: https://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/0932/report.html

Li, X., & Shao, G. (2014). Object-Based Land-Cover Mapping with High Resolution Aerial Photography at a County Scale in Midwestern USA. *Remote Sensing*, 6(11), 11372-11390. Retrieved November 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.3390/rs61111372

MacFaden, S. W., O'Neil-Dunne, J. P., Royar, A. R., Lu, J. W., & Rundle, A. G. (2012, September 12). High-resolution tree canopy mapping for New York City using LIDAR and object-based image analysis. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing, 6*(1). Retrieved June 3, 2020, from https://www-spiedigitallibrary-org.ezaccess.libraries.psu.edu/journals/Journal-of-Applied-Remote-Sensing/volume-6/issue-01/063567/High-resolution-tree-canopy-mapping-for-New-York-City-using/10.1117/1.JRS.6.063567.full?SSO=1

Milligan, C. (2016, April 12). Irrigation systems: Real rainmakers for farmers. Retrieved June 29, 2020, from Delaware Business Times: https://delawarebusinesstimes.com/news/industry/agribusiness/irrigation-systems-real-rainmakers-farmers/

National Ocean Service. (2018, June 25). Ocean Facts: Where is the largest estuary in the United States? Retrieved June 29, 2020, from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration: https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/chesapeake.html#:~:text=The%20Chesapeake%20Bay%20is%20the,that%20drain%20into%20the%20Bay.

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM). (2020, April 01). United States Interagency Elevation Inventory. Retrieved from United States Interagency Elevation Inventory/

Olson, C. E. (1960). Elements of photographic interpretation common to several sensors. Photogrammetric Engineering, 26(4), 651-656. Retrieved December 09, 2020

Saraiva, M., Protas, E., Salgado, M., & Souza, C. (2020). Automatic Mapping of Center Pivot Irrigation Systems from Satellite Images Using Deep Learning. *Remote Sensing*, 12(3), 558. Retrieved November 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12030558

United States Department of Agriculture. (2020). Farm Service Agency: NAIP Imagery. Retrieved June 30, 2020, from United States Department of Agriculture: https://www.fsa.usda.gov/programs-and-services/aerial-photography/imagery-programs/naip-imagery/

USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service. (2019, November). *Table 2. Irrigated Farms by Acres Irrigated: 2018 and 2013.* Retrieved from USDA Census of Agriculture: 2018 Irrigation and Water Management Survey: https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Online\_Resources/Farm\_and\_Ranch\_Irrigation\_Survey/fris\_1\_0002\_0002.pdf

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2020, 04 01). *Chesapeake Bay Watershed*. Retrieved from USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detailfull/national/programs/initiatives/?cid=stelprdb1047323

Watkins, B., & Van Niekerk, A. (2019, December). Automating field boundary delineation with multi-temporal Sentinel-2 imagery. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 167*. Retrieved November 04, 2020, from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2019.105078

Zhang, C., Yue, P., Di, L., & Wu, Z. (2018, October). Automatic Identification of Center Pivot Irrigation Systems from Landsat Images Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *Agriculture, 8*(10). Retrieved April 1, 2020, from http://dx.doi.org.ezaccess.libraries.psu.edu/10.3390/agriculture8100147