# Review of the City of South Bend Fire Service using GIS.

BY: CORY M. GEHRING

APRIL 26, 2023



### Background

- Fire Services are a crucial part of city infrastructure.
- Have not had a review recently.
- Geospatial analysis of current Fire Response Areas.
- Do they meet the needs of the population?
  - Response Times.
  - ► Alarm Call volume.



# Background

- Population of 103,353 (Census Bureau, 2021)
- City covers 42+ square miles.
- Is the SBFD over-extended?
- ► Alarm Call volume from 2022.
  - First and Second-Order Variations.
  - ► Gaps in coverage?
- Need for a new station?
- If yes, where?



# Background

- Stations and Fire Response Areas
  - Stations (11)
    - ▶ Need a 12<sup>th</sup> ?





-Photo courtesy of South Bend Tribune



### Description of the Problem

Things considered:

- 24,078\* Alarm calls January 1, 2022-December 31, 2022.
  - ▶ 560 fire calls.
  - 17,712 EMS calls answered.
- Are there gaps?
- Simple Random Sample of 10%.





### Goals & Objectives

#### ► Goal-Geospatial review of the SBFD.

- Is there an area of the city showing the SBFD being over-extended?
- ▶ If so, is there a need for a new fire station to be built?
- ► If yes, what is the optimal location for the new fire station?



# Methodology

- Qualitative information per the National Fire Protection Association Standard 1710\*.
  - Alarm Answering Time: 15 seconds for 95% of calls; 40 seconds for 99% of calls.
  - Alarm Processing Time: 64 seconds for 90% of calls; 106 seconds for 95% of calls.
  - Turnout Time: 60 seconds for EMS responses; 80 seconds for fire responses.
  - First Engine Arrive on Scene Time: 240 sec (4 minutes) for 90% of responses with a minimum staffing of 4 personnel.
- Max drive time calculated: 6.31 minutes.



# Methodology

- Estimated call volume and response times per station.
- Approximate Drive Time Tool max travel time does not exceed 6.31 minutes.

| Max Approximate Drive times per Fire Response Area |              |
|--|--------------|
| Area   | Drive Time   |
| Fire Response Area 1                               | 1.53 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 2                               | 1.75 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 3                               | 3.19 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 4                               | 2.06 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 5                               | 3.07 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 6                               | 2.80 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 7                               | 4.85 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 8                               | 1.97 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 9                               | 1.10 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 10                              | 4.23 minutes |
| Fire Response Area 11                              | 4.66 minutes |
| Average Reported Response Time in 2022             | 5.5 minutes* |

\*Note: The calculated statistical mean (average) is 2.87 minutes for the Approximate Drive Time Tool.

# Methodology

- Data Cleaning
  - Determining the sample
- Preparing the layers
  - Converting to raster
  - Reclassifying
  - Combining



### Analysis Conducted

- Euclidean Distance Tool
  - ► Linear Distance
  - ▶ 1-mile rings
  - Gap in areas 6, 9, and 11.



### Analysis Conducted

- Euclidean Allocation Tool
  - Distance Closest Proximity.
  - Determined the effect of the Fire Stations on the other cells in the Fire Response Areas on a cell-by-cell basis.
- Very similar to the current Fire Response Areas.



### Analysis Conducted

 Roads and river as obstacles.



#### Cost Distance Tool

- Roads and the river are "obstacles"
- Determined the effect of the cost distance incorporating the roads.
- High travel cost in Fire Service Areas 5, 6, 10, and 11 indicated by the bright yellow.



14

Kernel Density Analysis.

- Magnitude of alarm calls.
  - Darker the color, the more volume of calls.
- Out of the areas identified as possible gaps, Fire Response Area 6 appears to have a need.



Putting it all Together.

- Fire Response Area 6.
  - Appears to have a high alarm call volume.



#### Putting it all Together.

- Fire Response Area 6.
  - Appears to have highcost distance.
  - Bright yellow in the northwest, southwest, and southeast.



# Discussion

The results were unexpected.





# Discussion



#### If there was a need...



### Conclusion

Is there an area of the city showing the SBFD being over-extended?

► No.

- If so, is there a need for a new fire station to be built?
  - ► Not currently.
- If yes, what is the optimal location for the new fire station?
  - Should one be needed, it should be located between Fire Response Areas 6 and 11.



<sup>\*</sup>Note:SBFD provided the lot location where the next station is intended. This is ideal as the data of this study suggest.

### References

- Anderson, A., & Ezekoye, O. (2018, January). Exploration of NFIRS Protected Populations Using Geocoded Fire Incidents.I-PSDI.org. Retrieved November 6, 2022, from <u>https://i-psdi.org/assets/research/exploration-of-nfirs-protected-populations-using-geocoded-fire-incidents.pdf</u>
- Bennett, L., D'Acosta, J., & Vale, F. (2017). Spatial Data Mining I: Essentials of Cluster Analysis. Esri UC 2017 Tech Sessions. Retrieved November 21, 2022, from <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qQNOIfOYtyw.</u>
- City of South Bend. (2022). Addresses, Centerlines, Structures, CityLimits, Parcels. [GIS Layers] SouthBend.maps.arcgis.com.
  - https://southbend.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=0463540d3d884cd7b6e89ecae9bd68f2
- De Sanctis, G., Ridder, A., Barth, U., & Fontana, M. (2015). A systematical Bayesian approach for outcome-oriented fire service data collection. Fire Safety Journal, 78, 219-228. <u>https://10.1016/j.firesaf.2015.09.002</u>
- FireCARES (2018, February 13). City of South Bend Indiana Fire Department. FIRECARES.org <u>https://firecares.nfors.org/departments/77889/city-of-south-bend-indiana-fire-department</u>



### References

- Glinka, M., Dudziński, Ł, & Glinka, P. (2022). Geoprocessing as a contemporary tool for analyzing the data pertinent to medical interventions in the State Fire Service (SFS) – a 12-year retrospective study. Critical Care Innovations, 5(3), 1-13. <u>https://10.32114/CCI.2022.5.3.1.13</u>
- Indiana Department of Homeland Security. (2022). EMS Data. IN.gov. <u>https://www.in.gov/dhs/ems/ems-data/</u>
- LemonBin. (2021, November 23). 9 Different Types of Fire Trucks. LemonBin Vehicle Guides. Retrieved November 21, 2022, from <u>https://lemonbin.com/types-of-fire-trucks/</u>
- Moore-Merrell, L. (2019, July 17). Underst anding and Measuring Fire Department Response Times. Lexipol.com. https://www.lexipol.com/resources/blog/understanding-and-measuring-fire-department-response-times/
- National Fire Protection Association (n.d.). Quantitative Evaluation of Fire and EMS Mobilization Times. <u>https://www.nfpa.org/Assets/files/AboutTheCodes/1720/FAD-AAA PreFDagenda 10-11 Part3.pdf</u>
- Parrot, R., & Stutz, F. P. (1991). Urban GIS applications. Google Scholar. <u>http://lidecc.cs.uns.edu.ar/~nbb/ccm/downloads/Literatura/URBAN%20GIS%20APPLICATIONS.pdf</u>
- Public Safety and Homeland Security. (2022, November 1). 911 and E911 Services. Federal Communications Commission. Retrieved November 21, 2022, from <u>https://www.fcc.gov/general/9-1-1-and-e9-1-1-services</u>



### QUESTIONS?



