John Sullivan

How have the spatial patterns of wildfire perimeters and the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) in Oregon and Washington State shifted through time?

Advisor: Dr. Erica Smithwick

S

GEOG 596A

Penn State MGIS

April 21, 2021

Contents

N

1.0 Study Purpose	1
2.0 Background	1
3.0 Project Scope and Approach	2
4.0 Objectives	2
5.0 Methodology	3
6.0 Results	14
7.0 Challenges / Limitations	21
8.0 Conclusion	21
References	22
Datasets	22



1.0 Study Purpose

There is not a good system, or organization of datasets, to educate people spatially and statistically about the dangers of moving into regions more prone to wildfire. Persons living in the Western United States are starting to see the impacts to daily life as wildfires begin to burn longer, hotter, and affect populations that move deeper into their destructive path. I aim to organize datasets that aid in the spatial and temporal analysis of vulnerable regions for people moving into the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) of Washington and Oregon, U.S. By identifying vulnerable areas, we can help residents allocate time, resources, and techniques available to fight a wildfire nearest to them. This topic is of interest to me because I live in Olympia, WA and have developed an appreciation for the forests and people that surround me. I would also like to draw attention to the risks associated with people moving into these regions given the increasing vulnerabilities of communities to wildfire.

2.0 Background

Climate change is causing the Earth to warm faster than we anticipated and has brought larger and more frequent fires to our forested ecosystems of western North America. This trend continues today with increases in a fire's severity and areas burned (Cansler, 2014). The WUI is a regional classification, categorized using U.S. Census and USGS National Land Cover Data (NLCD), used to determine where people are living in relation to wildland vegetation. The fact that more and more people are building houses in these regions is cause for environmental concern. The main concern is that people are the number one cause for wildland fires, as well as habitat fragmentation, invasive species introduction, and biodiversity decline (Martinuzzi et al, 2020). For this study's purpose I focus on wildland fire and how, through time, people are becoming more vulnerable to its destruction by living in the WUI. This study will:

- Compare areas where houses meet or intermingle with undeveloped wildland vegetation (WUI).
- Calculate focal areas for human-environment conflicts since the year 1990, which contained the most accurate census numbers and fire perimeters.
- Decennial census data from 1990, 2000, and 2010 will demonstrate how hundreds of thousands of people are moving into vulnerable regions.
- Compare how this study's method of statically determining the number of people at risk and compare that with the WUI dataset.

According to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), a forest fire is any nonstructure fire in the wild that is either unplanned ignitions or planned ignitions that are declared wildfires. Large fires are defined as any wildland fire in timber 100 acres or greater and 300 acres or greater in grasslands/rangelands. This study has eliminated any reported wildfire that burned less than 100 acres (DNR, 2014). During 2020, Washington had the second worst year on record for wildfires, second to only 2015 for most acres burned in a single season this decade (Goodwin, 2020). Also, alarming is that the DNR Division for Wildfire reported that in 2020 they responded to 1,800 fires, and 40 percent of those were in the historically wetter Western Washington, and near some of the largest temperate rainforests in North America (Farley, 2020).



3.0 Project Scope and Approach

I will use a WUI polygon, provided by SILVIS LAB, to recategorized which populations are in vulnerable areas. Within the WUI layer, data is organized with Census results from 1990, 2000, and 2010. The attribution fields I will use to categorized which Census Blocks are at risk is based on what the WUI determines as low to high risk, the exact criteria are stated within the methodology section. I used this method because I would like to take into consideration low to high housing densities that are either intermixed or within a short distance to wildland vegetation. It is safe to assume that communities within 2.5 km of a large wildfire will either be forced to evacuate or face damage from being near a fire.

For the wildfire perimeter I will merge two polygons. One provided by the National Interagency Fire Center (NIFC) for Washington and the other by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for Oregon. These layers are crucial to this analysis because it will enable me to identify spatial patterns in how and why people in nearby WUI are vulnerable. Another source of my information comes from the U.S. Census Bureau, who produces a layer that is a unique number assigned to Census Blocks. A Block is the smallest geographic area for which the Census Bureau collects and tabulates Census data, and are formed by streets, roads, railroads, streams and other bodies of water, other visible and cultural features, and the legal boundaries (US Census Bureau, 2020). U.S. Census TIGER 2010 Block polygons are associated with housing and population density, while the WUI dataset incorporated spatial joins with these 1990, 2000, and 2010 Census Block housing densities.

4.0 Objectives

- 1. Identify areas where large populations and previous large forest fires merge.
- 2. Calculate the area and quantify over time how more people are moving into the WUI and previously burned fire perimeters.
- 3. Create temporal and thematic graphics depicting regions with heavy WUI populations near large forest fires.
- 4. Incorporate census data into the analysis to establish, through time, how many people are impacted.
- 5. Develop a robust ArcGIS Storymap detailing how these datasets correlate and have changed over time. This Storymap will give people something to use and share for educational purposes.
- 6. Organize all datasets into a 24 x 36-inch thematic map.



5.0 Methodology

I started by merging the two fire perimeter datasets in ArcPRO then used Microsoft Access to make some of the fields more manageable, such as the Year field being in Text format in one and numeric in another. I then combined this dataset with another provided by NIFC that had the more recent 2020 events. **Figure 1** demonstrates how I exported the polygon to shapefile for use in Access. After merging fire perimeter polygons in ArcPRO, I imported the .dbf file into Access and converted the year's text field to short integer. After I cleaned up some of the other fields I then reexported the .dbf to the same naming convention as the shapefile for incorporation and visualization in ArcPRO.

File Home Create	External Data Database Tools Help Field	ds Table ,	t you want to d
View Paste Format	Image: Painter Image:	Refresh All * ★ Delete * More *	Find Sel
Views Clipboard	rs Sort & Filter	Records	Find
All Access 😔 «	FirePoly_merge ×		
earch. P	_ PERIMDATE - ACRES - CAUSE -	YEAR FIRE_YEAR	Fire_Year2 •
Tables 8	9/18/2009 1910.88144832 Miscellaneous	2009	
FirePoly_merge	0	0 2001	2001
Hrevoly_merge	0	0 2015	2015
	0	0 2006	2005
	0	0 2015	2015
	0	0 1981	1981
	131.0569453	1991	2002
	0	0 2002	2002
	0	0 1992 0 2003	2003
	0	0 2003	2003
		0 2000	2005
Contents	🗸 ų 🗙 🔣 Map 🗶 🛃 Layout		
	2		
Y Search			
Drawing Order			
✓ FirePoly_merge	See 1	A	
	1:9,970,639 🔹 🛛 🖽 🗰 🕩 🐴	4,029,799.80E 623,164.925 ft	US 🗸 🖉 Selec
FirePoly_merge_Dissolve_Clip	III FirePoly merge ×		
	Field: 📰 Add 📰 Calculate Selection: 🔛 Se	lect By Attributes 🖉 Zoom To 😤 Sw	itch 🗏 Clear 🐷 Delete 🗐 Copy
FirePoly_merge_Dissolve	A FID Share * FIREVEAR FIRE NAME		
FirePoly_merge_Dissolve	A FID Shape* FIREYEAR FIRE_NAME 420 Polycon 2016 Wellesley	-	PERIMDATE CAUSE 8/24/2015 Undetermined
FirePoly_merge_Dissolve FirePoly_merge FirePoly_merge	420 Polygon 2016 Wellesley	341.17203 8/21/2016	8/24/2016 Undetermined
	420 Polygon 2016 Wellesley 421 Polygon 2016 Cayuse Mtn.	341.17203 8/21/2016 18095.96211 8/21/2016	8/24/2016 Undetermined 8/30/2016 Human
▷ FirePoly_merge	420 Polygon 2016 Wellesley 421 Polygon 2016 Cayuse Mtn. 422 Polygon 2016 Yale	341.17203 8/21/2016 18095.96211 8/21/2016 5870.23431 8/21/2016	8/24/2016 Undetermined 8/30/2016 Human 8/28/2016 Undetermined
FirePoly_merge OR_WA_Dissolve	420 Polygon 2016 Wellesley 421 Polygon 2016 Cayuse Mtn.	341.17203 8/21/2016 18095.96211 8/21/2016	8/24/2016 Undetermined 8/30/2016 Human

Figure 1

Figure 2 demonstrates how I was able to use structured query (SQL) functions to break up the fire polygon into five categories, four of which are a decade long. I left the year 2020 as its own layer because it helps demonstrate how bad that twelve-month period was. I left the



1980 fire incident perimeters strictly for visualization but removed them later when merging and dissolving the perimeters for comparison with Census numbers and WUI dataset.

Contents * # ×	🔀 Map 🔀 📓 Layout	
	State and a state of the state	
Y Search P -	Select By Attributes ? ×	
<u>ta</u> 🗇 🕅 🖊 🖽 🤌 🦄	Input Rows Fire_1980_2020	
Drawing Order	Selection type	
🖌 💽 Map	New selection *	0-
▲ ✓ Fire_2000s	Expression	🗗 FireData
	🗃 Load 📓 Save 🗙 Remove	Fire_1980s
4 🗹 Fire_1990s	SQL 💽	
-	FIREYEAR >- 2010 And FIREYEAR <- 2019	Fire_1990s
CONUS_WULcp12		S Fire 2000-
		Fire_2000s
4 CONUS_bik10_Census_change_1990_2010_PLA2	Invert Where Clause	Fire_2010s
	invert Where Clause	
▷ OR_WA_Dis		Fire_2020
▷ Census		_
4 🗹 Fire		RAW_ActiveWildfire2020
Wa_wui_cp12		
▷ or_wui_cp12		🖾 RAW_Fire_1980_2020
Fire_1980s		
▷ 📝 Fire_1980_2020		
▷ FIRE_POINT		
A NLCD		
▷ □ NLCD_2008	Apply OK	
E' 2		•

Figure 2

Figure 3 is an example of how I spatially went through the datasets to delete redundant records of fires. Since a fire can cover the same region over the span of the 40-year time frame, I first used the select by location tool then manually by scrolling around the study area and toggling on and off layers to determine which perimeters overlapped.



Figure 3



All datasets used in this analysis were then clipped to the Census provided Washington and Oregon State polygon and use the NAD 1983 HARN StatePlane Washington South FIPS 4602 (US Feet) projection. I choose this projection because of its central location to the firepolygon_merge layer. The NLCD came from seven separate years and was clipped to a Washington / Oregon merged polygon. From these raster layers I will be able to demonstrate how the four categories of urban development are infringing on the same regions as previous wildfires, as seen in **Figure 4**.

	NLCD_Land_Cover_Class	
✓ OR_WA_Dis	Unclassified	
	Open Water	
▷ 🔄 Fire	Perennial Snow/Ice	
▲ 🗸 NLCD	Developed, Open Space	A CONTRACT OF THE MAN
▶ NLCD_2008	Developed, Low Intensity	
▶ NLCD_2001	Developed, Medium Intensity	
▷ □ NLCD_2004	Developed, High Intensity	
	Barren Land	the second second second
▶ NLCD_2006	Deciduous Forest	
▶ NLCD_2011	Evergreen Forest	and the second sec
▷ NLCD_2013	Mixed Forest	
▷ 🗸 NLCD_2016	Shrub/Scrub	
▷ NLCD_Change	Herbaceuous	
ESRI_US_States	Hay/Pasture	
	Cultivated Crops	
	Woody Wetlands	
Figure 4	Emergent Herbaceuous Wetland	s



For visual aesthetics I downloaded NaturalVue, SRTM2, and DTED1 image/elevation tiles from USGS EarthExplorer and used mosaic dataset processing methods such as building pyramids and calculating statistics. These will be useful when I put together thematic maps of the areas more prone to wildfire. Applying image tiles and using ArcPRO raster functions such as shaded relief maps can aid in not only the visual affects but also slope analysis of a fire's destructive path. **Figure 5** demonstrates how some of the mosaic dataset and raster processing functions were applied to this dataset.







The datasets I am organizing also contain a WUI polygon to recategorized which populations are in vulnerable areas. I restructured the data within my own database to apply coded domains to certain fields. This is going to make adding or updating areas I identify as more vulnerable from more current landcover / LANDSAT8 images with band combinations for urban analysis. The attribution fields can be used to categorized which census blocks are at risk. **Figure 6** is an example where I took into consideration low to high housing densities that are either intermixed or within a short distance to wildland vegetation.

Doma	ain Name	Descripti	on	Field Type	Domain Type	Split Policy	Merge Policy	_ Code	Description
Annota	ationStatus	Valid anno	tation state values.	Short	Coded Value Domain	Duplicate	Default	5	Uninhabited_NoVeg
BooleanSymbolValue Valid values are Yes and No.			Short	Coded Value Domain	Duplicate	Default	6	Med_Dens_NoVeg	
HorizontalAlignment Valid horizontal symbol alignment			nt Short	Coded Value Domain	Duplicate	Default	7	Med_Dens_Intermix	
MosaicAnalysisResultSeverityDomain Analysis result severity.					Default Default		8	Med_Dens_Interface	
			Long	Coded Value Domain Coded Value Domain		Default	9	Low_Dens_NoVeg	
		lysisResultTypeDomain Analysis result type alogItemCategoryDomain Catalog item categories.			Coded Value Domain		Default	10	Low_Dens_Intermix
		-	em categories. 201 vertical alignment	Long			Default	11	Low_Dens_Interface
Vertica	I A II	values.	ali verticai alignment	Short	Coded Value Domain	Duplicate	Default	12	High_Dens_NoVeg
WUICIa	assification	WUI Classi	fication	P .tt	Coded Value Domain	Default	Default	13	High_Dens_Intermix
								14	High_Dens_Interface
wuifiel	lds ×								
lald: III	Add I Calculate Se	laction: En Sal	oct Du Attributor	taam ta 📑	Switch 📃 Clear 🔜 D	ulata 🗐 Com			
	WUICLASS90		COUNT_WUIC			esen Ercopi			
0	High_Dens_Interface	15313	15313						
1	High_Dens_Intermix	732	732						
2	High Dens NoVeg	45870	45870						
2	High_Dens_NoVeg	45870 9671	45870 9671						
-	High_Dens_NoVeg Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix								
3	Low_Dens_Interface	9671	9671						
3	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix	9671 16687	9671 16687						
3 4 5	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg	9671 16687 8704	9671 16687 8704						
3 4 5 6	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface	9671 16687 8704 31157	9671 16687 8704 31157						
3 4 5 6 7	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140						
3 4 5 6 7 8	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg Uninhabited_Veg	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg Uninhabited_Veg Very_Low_Dens_NoVeg	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg Uninhabited_Veg Very_Low_Dens_NoVeg Very_Low_Dens_Veg Water	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622				WU	JIClassificat	ion
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg Uninhabited_Veg Very_Low_Dens_NoVeg Very_Low_Dens_Veg Water	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163			Nume		UClassificat	tion
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_NoVeg Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg Uninhabited_Veg Very_Low_Dens_NoVeg Very_Low_Dens_Veg Water	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163 WUICLASS90 WUIFLAG00	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163 WUICLASS90 Text)		Nume	ric	JIClassificat	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Low_Dens_Interface Low_Dens_Intermix Low_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_Interface Med_Dens_Intermix Med_Dens_NoVeg Uninhabited_NoVeg Uninhabited_Veg Very_Low_Dens_NoVeg Very_Low_Dens_NoVeg Very_Low_Dens_Veg Water	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163 WUICLASS90 WUIFLAG00	9671 16687 8704 31157 12140 41301 76845 113661 10254 13622 22163 WUIKLASS90 Text WUIFLAG00 Long			Nume	ric WU		

Figure 6



Figure 7 utilizes the previously organized fire polygon perimeters to help visualize how these wildfires are burning more area as the decades progress. I left the most recent events underneath the older ones to demonstrate how wildfires are encroaching on areas previously untouched. These are a few of the layers I will load into ArcPortal and create a set of interactive storymaps to help educate viewers. After this I removed the 1980 incidents, then merged and dissolved the remaining perimeters into one final wildfire polygon encompassing all events from 1990 to 2020.









Figure 9 is an example of a query that can now be done on the raw fire data. Here I wanted to find the total amount of acres burned for the given year. I also changed the property sheet to format as fixed with zero decimal places for ease of viewing. Right away I can see that more acres are burning from wildfires in the 2000s.





Figure 10 depicts the Reclassify parameters I used and below is the Loop python script I developed to recode all rasters into a folder I called 'Rasters'.

```
# Import system modules
                                                                 Value
import arcpyfrom arcpy
                                                                 Reclassification
import envfrom arcpy.sa
import *
# Set environment settings
env.workspace =
r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\CapstoneData.gdb"
                                                                 23
# Set local variables
outFolder = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\Rasters"
# Check out the ArcGIS Spatial Analyst extension license
arcpy.CheckOutExtension("Spatial")
# Get a list of the rasters in the workspace
                                                                   Unique
rasters = arcpy.ListRasters()
                                                                 Output raster
# Loop through the list of rasters
for inRaster in rasters:
# Set the outputname for each output to be the same as the input
    outRaster = outFolder + "\\" + inRaster
# Process: recode
    out_raster = arcpy.sa.Reclassify(inRaster, "Value", "0 NODATA;11 NODATA;12 NODATA;21 1;22
```

```
2;23 3;24 4;31 NODATA;41 NODATA;42 NODATA;43 NODATA;52 NODATA;71 NODATA;81 NODATA;82 NODATA;90
NODATA;95 NODATA", "DATA");
                               out_raster.save(outRaster)
print('Done Processing')
```

Next I exported the four perimeter files (Figure 11) I will be using to mask my coded rasters to .shapefiles for incorporation into the below python Loop and Masking python script.

```
# Import system modules
import arcpy
from arcpy import env
from arcpy.sa
import *
# Set environment setting
senv.workspace = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\Rasters"
# Set local variables
inMaskData = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\fireperm.shp"
inMaskData2 = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\Buffer_2_5k.shp"
inMaskData3 = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\Buffer_5k.shp"
inMaskData4 = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\Buffer_10k.shp"
outFolder = r"D:\PSU\Capstone\CapstoneData\CapstoneData.gdb"
# Check out the ArcGIS Spatial Analyst extension license
arcpy.CheckOutExtension("Spatial")
# Get a list of the rasters in the workspace
rasters = arcpy.ListRasters()
# Loop through the list of rasters
for inRaster in rasters:
# Set the outputname for each output to be the same as the input
    outRaster = outFolder + "\\" + inRaster + "_p"
```

Process: Extract by Mask



```
Figure 10
```

```
Buffer_2_5k.shp
Buffer_5k.shp
Buffer_10k.shp
fireperm.shp
```





```
arcpy.gp.ExtractByMask_sa(inRaster, inMaskData, outRaster)
print('Done Processing Perimeter')
# Loop through the list of rasters
for inRaster in rasters:
# Set the outputname for each output to be the same as the input
   outRaster = outFolder + "\\" + inRaster + " 2 5"
# Process: Extract by Mask
    arcpy.gp.ExtractByMask sa(inRaster, inMaskData2, outRaster)
print('Done Processing 2.5km buffer')
# Loop through the list of rastersfor inRaster in rasters:
# Set the outputname for each output to be the same as the input
   outRaster = outFolder + "\\" + inRaster + " 5"
# Process: Extract by Mask
    arcpy.gp.ExtractByMask_sa(inRaster, inMaskData3, outRaster)
print('Done Processing 5km buffer')
# Loop through the list of rasters
for inRaster in rasters:
# Set the outputname for each output to be the same as the input
   outRaster = outFolder + "\\" + inRaster + " 10"
# Process: Extract by Mask
    arcpy.gp.ExtractByMask_sa(inRaster, inMaskData4, outRaster)
print('Done Processing 10km buffer')
```

Below is a list and description of the developed categories I reclassified from the NLCD. Impervious surfaces include roads, driveways, rooftops, and other compact surfaces that do not allow rain to infiltrate into the soil and groundwater.

- 21 Developed, Open Space- areas with a mixture of some constructed materials, but mostly vegetation in the form of lawn grasses. Impervious surfaces account for less than 20% of total cover. These areas most commonly include large-lot single-family housing units, parks, golf courses, and vegetation planted in developed settings for recreation, erosion control, or aesthetic purposes.
- 22 Developed, Low Intensity- areas with a mixture of constructed materials and vegetation. Impervious surfaces account for 20% to 49% percent of total cover. These areas most commonly include single-family housing units.
- 23 Developed, Medium Intensity -areas with a mixture of constructed materials and vegetation. Impervious surfaces account for 50% to 79% of the total cover. These areas most commonly include single-family housing units.
- 24 Developed High Intensity-highly developed areas where people reside or work in high numbers. Examples include apartment complexes, row houses and commercial/industrial. Impervious surfaces account for 80% to 100% of the total cover.



After doing some preliminary analysis on my raster mask output I discovered, for whatever reason, there was no change to the four develop NLCD categories between the years 2006 and 2008, then again between 2011 and 2013. Because of this, I chose to remove 2006 and 2011 from describing the change to urban development the NLCDs represent. I then calculated the acres – each X, Y cell size is 166.234375149578 feet, which equals 27633.87 feet squared and equates to 0.63438636364 acres per pixel. I then created another field to calculate the number of acres based on the count of pixels (see **Figure 12**).

NLCD ×			Loo	kup Wizar	đ					
Field	d Name	Data Type AutoNumber	What values do you want to list, and then type the values							
Category		Short Lext								
Year		Number				column, drag its right edge				
Buffer Short Text			right edge of the column heading to get the best fit.							
Count		Number		Number of golumns: 1						
Counc		Humber		Call						
				2001						
				2004						
				2008						
			8	2013						
			*	2020						
NLCD ×			1 N	LCD ×						
Field	Name	Data Type	2	ID	•	Category •	Year - W	Buffer -	Count •	Acres •
10		AutoNumber Short Text			17 0	pen Space	2016 🗠	Perimeter	464894	294922.41
Category Year			-		18 L	ow Intensity	2016	Perimeter	107794	68383.04
Butter				19 Medium Intensit		edium Intensity	2016	Perimeter	29283	18576.74
Count		Number				igh Intensity	2016	Perimeter	9648	6120,56
Acres		Calculated				pen Space		2.5k	1711429	1085707.22
						ow Intensity	2016		680165	431487.40
						edium Intensity		2.5k	233376	148050.55
			-			igh Intensity				
							2016		56611	35913.25
						pen Space	2016		2818449	1787985.61
_						ow Intensity	2016		1221349	774807.15
_			_			fedium Intensity	2016		458817	291067.25
					60 H	igh Intensity	2016	5k	111665	70838.75
			-		77 0	pen Space	2016	10k	4488619	2847518.69
					78 L	ow Intensity	2016	10k	2004653	1271724.53
					79 N	fedium Intensity	2016	10k	773513	490706.10
					80 H	igh Intensity	2016	10k	192345	122021.05
General Lookup						, ,				
Expression	[Count]10.6	13438(36364								
Result Type	Decimal									
Formal.	i izanci		-							
Precision Scale	18		-							
Decimal Places	Auto									
Ception			_							
Text Align	General		_							





I then created a new layer (**Figure 13**) by selecting the WUI_merge layer that intersect with the fire perimeter, and one that intersects with each fire perimeter buffer. The criteria for the WUI classes I took into consideration are as follows. **Figure 14** depicts a merger of the following WUI categories by decade.

- **High_Dens:** Housing density >= 741.3162 houses per square km
- Med_Dens: Housing density between 49.42108 and 741.3162
- Low_Dens: Housing density between 6.177635 and 49.42108
- **Intermix:** wildland vegetation > 50%
- Interface: wildland vegetation <= 50% and within 2.414 km of area with >= 75% wildland vegetation



Figure 13



Figure 14



6.0 Results

Of the 4,696 wildfire incidents I calculated from the merged Washington and Oregon BLM and NIFC datasets, 2,447 burned 100 or more acres. The year 2020 was particularly bad for the study area, though 2015 closely equaled it. The numbers demonstrate an alarming upward trend for incidents reported and acres burned. What the charts also show is that the worst years tend to come in waves, possibly equating to some type of cycle trending upward.





The table below was used to summarize the total amount of acres based on the four Developed Landcover Classifications from the NLCD for each buffer zone and year. The numbers illustrate how hundreds of acres are being developed in areas prone to wildfire. The large jump from 2001 to 2004 is likely equated to either a large population expansion or a change in how the landcover data was collected.

Fire Perimeter								
Year	High Intensity	Medium Intensity	Low Intensity	Open Space				
2001	2,069	6,232	23,985	103,217				
2004	5,902	17,807	67,853	294,312				
2008	5,997	18,152	68,011	294,453				
2013	6,039	18,315	68,165	294,733				
2016	6,121	18,577	68,383	294,922				
		2.5 Kilometer Buffer	:					
2001	10,929	46,101	148,862	379,356				
2004	31,104	131,839	423,896	1,081,619				
2008	33,368	139,886	426,743	1,084,259				
2013	34,933	144,310	428,915	1,085,806				
2016	35,913	148,051	431,487	1,085,707				
		5 Kilometer Buffer						
2001	20,856	89,955	266,407	623,651				
2004	59,625	257,249	758,798	1,778,629				
2008	64,625	273,914	764,826	1,784,464				
2013	68,612	283,721	769,646	1,787,937				
2016	70,839	291,067	774,807	1,787,986				
10 Kilometer Buffer								
2001	36,010	151,768	436,979	993,106				
2004	102,837	433,572	1,244,796	2,831,784				
2008	111,535	461,460	1,255,685	2,842,499				
2013	118,241	478,337	1,263,243	2,847,592				
2016	122,021	490,706	1,271,725	2,847,519				



According to the WUI dataset, numbers have steadily increased in all vulnerable categories, especially in the low-density intermixed category. Low density is usually the beginning stages that lead to medium to high density, so it is safe to assume thousands of people are moving into areas prone to wildfire more and more over the past three decades. The tables below reflect the number of BlockIDs that intersect the given perimeter category. Note the large jump in numbers when we incorporate the 2.5k buffer.







After looking at the number of Blocks that intersect the study areas fire perimeter, we can see that medium to high housing densities begin to take over low density as the perimeter buffer is expanded. This is another alarming example of how, within only a short distance, more people will be vulnerable to wildfires should they spread or massive amounts of people are forced to evacuate.







Next, I calculated the total acreage of WUI categories used in this analysis to see how that correlates with the four Developed Landcover acreage we clipped in the NLCD. Low Density Intermix is the dominate category within the fire perimeter, though Medium Density begins to show substantial risk looking at the 2.5k buffer.





When looking at the 5k and 10k buffer numbers we can see how High-Density housing begins to show substantial increases in acreage, while Medium and Low Density continues to climb as well.





Here we look at the Census numbers of total population and number of housing units. The numbers depict the large amount of people who are within a short distance to where a wildfire has burned since 1990. According to the 2010 Census, 123,182 people and 58,903 housing units are within the fire perimeter polygon. When we look just 2.5 kilometers out from the fire perimeter the numbers jump to 773,590 people and 347,583 housing units. That is a drastic increase, and only gets bigger as we look five and ten kilometers out.





7.0 Challenges / Limitations

- Census data does not entirely depict where and how people are living in the regions where human's meet nature.
- ▶ 2020 census data was not available for this analysis.
- ► There is not enough public knowledge or support to drastically change how and where we live. It is difficult to shift the way we live to mitigate the damage wildfires can cause.
- We are just starting to see how seasonal wildfires can damage our lives and economy. As we move into the 2021 summer months vulnerable populations need to actively embrace for contingency plans.
- This is a very complex problem with numerous variables involved to how or why a wildfire starts. Predictive models are not the solution, active education and prevention is what is needed to shift policy and the public's mindset.
- ▶ Time is always an issue when we research and challenge complex problems such as climate change. This research covers a large geographic area, which may help sway policy at the federal or state level.

Link to Storymap

Link to 24 x 36 inch Thematic Map

8.0 Conclusion

The goal of this analysis is to help educate people about the dangers regarding the high numbers of people moving into regions prone to wildfire. I was able to determine that more people are moving into regions prone to wildfire and that wildfires are getting more severe and occurring all over Oregon and Washington. This study focused on the historic locations of wildfires in Washington and Oregon, which occurred mostly in the regions east of the Cascade Mountain Range. The greatest area of concern is that there are signs of wildfires creeping on the Western Cascade (more populated) slopes, as well as more people moving into the Eastern Cascade regions.

This analysis not only helps paint a picture of what has happened in each region but can also help allocate for additional resources and funding. Fighting a fire must be done on a more micro scale, so educating these large amounts of people living in vulnerable areas on how to fight future fires will help stop future destruction. There is currently a Bill (2413) in the WA State Senate to generate \$63 million dollars yearly toward fighting fires. This will provide the needed funds to employ more fire fighters, analysts, and response stations (Holappa, 2020). The data presented in this study, as well as events occurring in California, tell us the Pacific Northwest is vulnerable to wildland fire. It is up to policy makers and the people moving into the WUI to prepare for the next large incident.



References

Cansler, C. A., & McKenzie, D. (2014). Climate, fire size, and biophysical setting control fire severity and spatial pattern in the northern Cascade Range, USA. Ecological Applications, 24(5), 1037-1056. https://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/pubs/journals/pnw_2014_cansler001.pdf. Accessed April 1, 2021.

Farley, Glenn. KING 5, Associated Press. September 11, 2020. "Washington grappling with 'climate fires' not wildfires, says Gov. Inslee."

https://www.king5.com/article/news/local/wildfire/washington-grappling-with-climate-fires-notwildfires-says-gov-inslee/281-47718d0f-ceaf-48ea-8d43-c909b37af130. Accessed April 13, 2021.

Holappa, Karl. March 4, 2020. "Oregon and Washington State Department of Natural Resources adds 30 new full-time wildland firefighters, forest health specialists." https://www.dailyrecordnews.com/news/Oregon and Washington-state-department-of-natural-resources-adds-new-full-time/article_4ec2d9c7-9775-5364-816e-ac7ce8211bf5.html. Accessed April 1, 2021.

Martinuzzi, Sebastián; Stewart, Susan I.; Helmers, David P.; Mockrin, Miranda H.; Hammer, Roger B.; Radeloff, Volker C.. (2020). The 2010 wildland-urban interface of the conterminous United States - geospatial data. Forest Service Research Data Archive. https://doi.org/10.2737/RDS-2015-0012. Accessed April 1, 2021.

Oregon and Washington State Department of Natural Resources. 2014. "Wildland Fire Coverage Guidelines for Oregon and Oregon and Washington". <u>https://gacc.nifc.gov/nwcc/content/pdfs/2014_Media_fire%20guidelines.pdf</u>. Accessed April 1, 2021.

Datasets

DNR Fire Statistics (1970 – present): This dataset is used to track wildfire information, assess wildfire risks, and to plan wildfire prevention activities. http://data-wadnr.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/dnr-fire-statistics-2008-present

SILVIS LAB: Spatial Analysis For Conservation and Sustainability. http://silvis.forest.wisc.edu/maps-data/

Oregon and Washington Geospatial Open Data Portal: http://geo.wa.gov/datasets/wadnr::Oregon and Washington-large-fires-1973-2018. Accessed January 13, 2020.

2000, 2010, 2020 Census data. Census.gov