# HURRICANE KATRINA A LAND COVER CHANGE DETECTION ANALYSIS SPANNING 15 YEARS

Alicia Williams



PennState

#### HURRICANE KATRINA

- Landfalling major hurricanes can cause catastrophic damage
- August 29, 2005
- Hurricane Katrina was one of the most catastrophic natural disasters in the US
- Hurricane Katrina will be used as an analog of land cover changes after catastrophic natural disasters



#### HURRICANE KATRINA DEVASTATION



- Significantly less greenness due to vegetation death from surge inundation
- Homes and boats destroyed (damage estimate is \$125 billion US dollars)

#### WETLANDS BEFORE AND AFTER



USGS Landsat Imagery

Redness = vegetation health 

## **IMPORTANCE OF WETLANDS**

- High levels of biodiversity
- Major source of carbon sequestration
- House numerous endangered/threatened animal species
- Mississippi delta wetlands home to 60% of the Gulf coastal wetlands
- Play an important role in buffering storms and reducing storm surge



https://mississippiriverdelta.org/

# OBJECTIVES

- How did Hurricane Katrina change the landscape of southeastern Louisiana?
- How has the landscape recovered now that 15 years have passed since the disaster?

- This study uses medium resolution Landsat imagery in southeastern Louisiana, in order to create multi-temporal NDVI which will assist in highly accurate object-based land cover classification maps created within eCognition.
- These methodologies will be used as a means of classifying and quantifying vegetation changes

# AREA OF INTEREST

- Hurricane Katrina moved directly north
   over the Mississippi River Delta
- Landsat imagery (moderate resolution) will be used for analysis over the entire Area of Interest (AOI)
- Maxar imagery (high resolution) will be used to assess accuracy of the Landsat analysis



USGS Landsat Imagery and ESRI Basemap

#### IMAGERY DATES AND SENSORS

| Reference | Satellite Sensor    | Spatial Resolution | Image Date(s)                  |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| LSI       | Landsat 5 TM        | 30 meters          | 11/7/2004                      |
| LS2       | Landsat 5 TM        | 30 meters          | 10/25/2005                     |
| LS3       | Landsat 8 OLI       | 30 meters          | 10/2/2020                      |
| MI        | Maxar's Quickbird   | 2.4 meters         | 9/6/2003; 3/9/2004; 4/4/2004   |
| M2        | Maxar's Quickbird   | 2.4 meters         | 9/3/2005; 9/21/2005; 10/4/2005 |
| M3        | Maxar's WorldView-2 | 2 meters           | 4/30/2020                      |

## METHODOLOGY WORKFLOW



# Google Earth Engine

- Google Earth Engine (GEE) was used to prepare data
  - Gather imagery for all three time periods
    - Least cloudy
    - Clip to AOI
- TI = November 7, 2004 (before Hurricane Katrina)
- T2 = October 25, 2005 (2 months after Hurricane Katrina made landfall)
- T3 = October 2, 2020 (15 years after Hurricane Katrina)



## LANDSAT HARMONIZATION

- TI and T2 imagery comes from a different satellite sensor than T3
- In order to ensure accurate change detection, Landsat T1 and T2 (Thematic Mapper) were harmonized to T3 (Operational Land Imager)



```
76
     //Harmonize TM and Oli images
 77
 78
 79
 80 • var coefficients = {
       itcps: ee.Image.constant([0.0003, 0.0088, 0.0061, 0.0412, 0.0254, 0.0172])
 81
                  .multiply(10000),
 82
       slopes: ee.Image.constant([0.8474, 0.8483, 0.9047, 0.8462, 0.8937, 0.9071])
 83
84
    };
 85
86 • function renameOli(img) {
       return img.select(
 87
          ['B2', 'B3', 'B4', 'B5', 'B6', 'B7', 'pixel_qa'],
 88
          ['Blue', 'Green', 'Red', 'NIR', 'SWIR1', 'SWIR2', 'pixel_qa']);
 89
 90
 91
 92 function renameTm(img) {
 93
       return img.select(
           ['B1', 'B2', 'B3', 'B4', 'B5', 'B7', 'pixel_qa'],
 94
          ['Blue', 'Green', 'Red', 'NIR', 'SWIR1', 'SWIR2', 'pixel qa']);
 95
 96
 97
 98 -
     function TmToOli(img) {
       return img.select(['Blue', 'Green', 'Red', 'NIR', 'SWIR1', 'SWIR2'])
 99
100
           .multiply(coefficients.slopes)
101
           .add(coefficients.itcps)
102
           .round()
103
           .toShort()
104
           .addBands(img.select('pixel_qa'));
105
    - }
```

Google Earth Engine

## HARMONIZATION

- Harmonization standardizes data from different sensors so that they can accurately be compared
- Spectral transformation function
- Minimizes constraints of different spectral, spatial, and radiometric properties of the varying Landsat sensors
- Major differences in the NIR band



United States Geological Survey

# NDVI

• Normalized Difference Vegetation Index:

 $NDVI = \frac{NIR - R}{NIR + R}$ 

- Useful for mapping
  - vegetation health
  - change
  - biomass
- Successful in many coastal environments



## NDVI CHANGE

- This project is building on my previous work
- This image is a change analysis comparing the NDVI prior to Hurricane Katrina and after landfall
- The dark reddish colors designate areas of significant decrease in NDVI



# LCC

- Land Cover Classification
  - Observed (bio)physical cover on the earth's surface
  - Usually confined to vegetation and man made features
- Useful for giving a name to the types of changes discovered
- Quantify change area



# LCC

- Prior to Hurricane Katrina and two months after inundation:
- The blue color indicates a change from the wetland class to the water class
- The light beige color indicates a change from the wetlands class to the bare earth class
- One previous study measured the change of wetland to water after Hurricane Katrina as 230 square kilometers
- My previous work measured this figure as 258 square kilometers, but wetland to bare earth measured as 624 square kilometers



#### **OBJECT BASED IMAGE ANALYSIS**

- OBIA useful for when different classes have similar spectral information
- With pixel-based classification there would be class confusion (think sand on a beach and bright rooftops)
- OBIA uses context clues (Rectangular shape? Surrounded by water?)
- eCognition
- OBIA increases LCC Accuracy 1.7-7.9% as compared to pixel-based classification







# ECOGNITION

- 🚊 🗉 Extract Wetland Process
  - 🔚 delete 'Level1'
  - multi-resolution: 5 [shape:0.1 compct.:0.5] creating 'Level1'
  - unclassified with NDVI1 <= 0 at Level1: Water</p>
  - Water, unclassified with Brightness >= 7 and NDVI1 <= 0.25 at Level1: Urban</p>
  - Urban with Mean NIR1 >= 85 and Mean Blue1 <= 180 at Level1: Sand</p>
  - Urban with Asymmetry >= 0.8 and Mean NIR1 >= 90 at Level1: Sand
  - Urban with Asymmetry >= 0.95 at Level1: Sand
  - Sand with Rectangular fit >= 0.9 at Level1: Urban
  - unclassified with NDVI1 >= 0.3 at Level1: Vegetation
  - unclassified with NDVI1 < 0.3 at Level1: Wetlands</p>
  - Vegetation, Wetlands with Brightness >= 6.8 and NDVI1 <= 0.48 at Level1: Mixed Urban/Vegetation</p>
  - Multi-resolution segmentation approach
    - Pixel
    - Neighboring pixels
    - Image Objects

# ECOGNITION

- NDVI, NIR, Blue, Asymmetry, Rectangular fit
- Classes: Water, Urban, Bare Earth, Vegetation, Wetlands, Mixed Urban/Vegetation
- Wetland in this study:
  - non-forested wetlands
  - wetland herbaceous vegetation
  - salt marshes
  - freshwater meadows
  - wet prairies
  - open bogs



# ACCURACY ASSESSMENT

- Once satisfied visually with the OBIA classification output, extract as TIF, and import into ArcGIS Pro
- Perform accuracy assessment using 500 random points comparing the LCC output to the high resolution Maxar imagery (M1, M2, M3)
  - Error of Omission (Producers Accuracy)
  - Error of Commission (Users Accuracy)
  - Overall Error
  - Kappa Coefficient



#### EXAMPLE ERROR MATRIX

| Class                  | Wetlands | Vegetation | Water    | Other    | Total | User's<br>Accuracy | Карра    |
|------------------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|-------|--------------------|----------|
| Wetlands               | 167      | 5          | 0        | 3        | 175   | 0.954286           | 0        |
| Vegetation             | 4        | 30         | 0        | 0        | 34    | 0.882353           | 0        |
| Water                  | 2        | 0          | 249      | 1        | 252   | 0.988095           | 0        |
| Other                  | 2        | 0          | 5        | 32       | 39    | 0.820513           | 0        |
| Total                  | 175      | 35         | 254      | 36       | 500   | 0                  | 0        |
| Producer's<br>Accuracy | 0.954286 | 0.857143   | 0.980315 | 0.888889 | 0     | 0.956              | 0        |
| Kappa                  | 0        | 0          | 0        | 0        | 0     | 0                  | 0.927998 |

#### CHANGE DETECTION

- The results for T1 and T2, T2 and T3, and T1 and T3 will be analyzed and changes will be compared
- Possible change detection methodologies include
  - ENVI
  - ArcPy Scripting
  - Upload final datasets to GEE for Java coding
- Testing currently undergoing for efficiency and accuracy



# ANTICIPATED RESULTS

- Anticipated results based on preliminary analysis shows significant changes from the wetland classification to the water classification
- This indicates significant wetland loss due to Hurricane Katrina that has still not recovered in the 15 years since the disaster
- Recovery after such a long time is unlikely, without mitigation



USGS Landsat Imagery and ESRI Basemap

# PROJECT TIMELINE

| Due Date | Due Out  |
|----------|--|
| 2/25/21  | 596A paper and presentation draft due to professor for comments        |
| 3/4/21   | Comments due from professor on 596A paper and presentation             |
| 3/10/21  | 596A paper and presentation completed (presentation at 11:30am)        |
| 4/1/21   | Analysis completed   |
| 5/6/21   | Results analyzed and compiled  |
| 6/3/21   | Final draft and final presentation due to Professor for final comments |
| 6/17/21  | Professor completes final comments on paper and presentation drafts    |
| 7/1/21   | Final paper edits completed and final presentation edits completed     |

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many thanks to:

- Maxar Technologies for the high resolution imagery for accuracy assessment
- Landsat 5 TM and Landsat 8 OLI imagery courtesy of the United States Geological Survey
- Dr. Doug Miller for his expertise and advisement which has been invaluable

# THANK YOU

# Any questions?



10.01

